

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL, CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT **PETITION LODGING NO.1926 OF 2006**

Rameshchandra Gopinath Das...Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Anr..Respondents

None for the petitioner

Mr. R.j. Mane, AGp for Respondent No.1.

Ms.A.K.Savla, for Respondent No.2.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &

ANOOP V.MOHTA, JJ.

DATE: 18TH AUGUST,2006

P.C.

. When the matter is called out none present
for the petitioner. On behalf of the respondent
learned Counsel points out that as the matter
pertains to cancellation of licence in accordance
with the provisions of Section 394 of the B.M.C. Act
the petitioner has a remedy at law by preferring an
Appeal. Considering that there is an alternate
efficacious remedy this would not be a fit case for
this Court to exercise its extra ordinary
jurisdiction. Petition rejected.

(F.I. REBELLO, J)

(ANOOP V.MOHTA, J.).